Order Form (01/2005)

## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

	_	٦.
_/	` `	. 1
_	/1	Y
,	1-4	~
	1 <i>7</i>	
l	~	- /
١.	•	
•		_

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Charles R. Norgle	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	07 C 6641	DATE	8/13/2008
CASE TITLE	Bolden vs. United States		

## DOCKET ENTRY TEXT

Emanuel Bolden's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is denied.

Marler Kayle

For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

## **STATEMENT**

On January 22, 2004, a jury convicted movant Emanuel Bolden of being a felon in possession of a firearm. Bolden's criminal history qualified him as an armed career criminal under 18 U.S.C. § 924(e). His sentencing range was therefore 253-293 months pursuant to United States Sentencing Guideline § 4B1.4. The court sentenced Bolden to 293 months imprisonment. Bolden appealed his conviction to the Seventh Circuit, which dismissed the appeal as frivolous. <u>United States v. Bolden</u>, 206 Fed. Appx. 541 (7th Cir. Aug. 17, 2006). Bolden then timely filed the instant motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

After a careful reading of Bolden's forty-two page rambling, pro se § 2255 motion, the court determines that the core of Bolden's claim is that he was erroneously sentenced as a career offender. It is clear from the record, however, that Bolden was not sentenced as a career offender pursuant to § 4B1.1 of the Guidelines, but rather as an armed career criminal under 18 U.S.C. § 924(e) and Guideline § 4B1.4. There is no question that Bolden's sentence fell within the properly calculated Guidelines range. Bolden, 206 F. Appx. at 542. Bolden's claim is therefore meritless, and his § 2255 Motion is denied.

TAUOD TÖMTZIQ .e.u

2008 VIJE 13 PM 4: 36